

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

					
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/526,936	03/08/2005	Hans Peter Rath	266598US0PCT	7557	
22850 7590 10/05/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER		
			BULLOCK, IN SUK C		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
		1764			
				-	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/05/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/526,936		RATH ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		In Suk Bullo	ck	1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 N</u>	March 2005.						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
•—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election re	quirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
A 44 •	Ma)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	,	Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/8/05. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:								
1 apor reo(s) retain Date <u>wood</u> .								

Application/Control Number: 10/526,936

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tokumoto et al (EP 1026175A1).

Application/Control Number: 10/526,936

Art Unit: 1764

The Tokumoto reference teaches a method of producing polyisobutene containing 80 mol% or more of molecules having highly reactive terminal vinylidene structure (page 2, lines 5-8) and having average molecular weight in the range of 500 to 15,000 (page 6, lines 16-19) from a feed comprising 35 to 70 wt% isobutene (page 5, lines 19-26) in the presence of a complex catalyst comprising boron trifluoride, ether, and alcohol (Abstract). The complex catalyst is of the equation (boron trifluoride)_{0.5} $_{1,1}$:(ether) $_{1,x}$:(alcohol and/or water) $_x$ wherein x in the equation is in the range of 0.005 or more but less than 0.3. The ether includes dialkyl ethers and the alcohol includes aliphatic alcohols having 1 to 21 carbon atoms, i.e. methanol, ethanol, propanol, tertbutanol. See page 4, lines 26-39 and page 4, line 58 to page 5, line 5. The quantity of catalyst is in the range of 0.05 to 500 mmol as boron trifluoride with respect to 1 mol of olefin components of feedstock (page 5, lines 37-41). The polymerization is conducted at a temperature in the range of -100 to 50 deg C (page 5, lines 32-36). The molecular weight of the polyisobutene can be controlled by regulating reaction temperature, molar ratio of ether and alcohol, and coordination number in molar ratio of boron trifluoride with respect to ether, alcohol, and feed quantity of catalyst (page 5, lines 42-44).

The difference between Tokumoto and the claimed invention is that the claimed ratios overlap with the ratios disclosed by the reference. However, overlapping ranges have been held to be prima facie evidence of obviousness. <u>In re Malagari</u>, 182USPQ 549 (CCPA 1974).

Tokumoto fails to explicitly disclose a BF₃ catalyst complexed with at least one tertiary alcohol and at least one compound selected from the group of methanol,

Application/Control Number: 10/526,936 Page 4

Art Unit: 1764

ethanol, 2-propanol or 2-butanol as called for in claim 3. However, since Tokumoto discloses using aliphatic alcohols such as t-butanol, methanol, and ethanol, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have selected a mixture of alcohols disclosed by the reference since they are taught to be equivalent.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to In Suk Bullock whose telephone number is 571-272-5954. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. Bullock

SLENN A. CALDAROLA PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1100

TC1700